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Abstract
Cancer remains one of the leading causes of mortality and morbidity throughout the world. To a significant extent, current conventional cancer therapies are symptomatic and passive in nature. The major obstacle to the development of effective cancer therapy is believed to be the absence of sufficient specificity. Since the discovery of the tumor-oriented homing capacity of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), the application of specific anticancer gene-engineered MSCs has held great potential for cancer therapies. The dual-targeted strategy is based on MSCs’ capacity of tumor-directed migration and incorporation and in situ expression of tumor-specific anticancer genes. With the aim of translating bench work into meaningful clinical applications, we describe the tumor tropism of MSCs and their use as therapeutic vehicles, the dual-targeted anticancer potential of engineered MSCs and a putative personalized strategy with anticancer gene-engineered MSCs.
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INTRODUCTION
Cancer is one of the top life-threatening diseases, accounting for an estimated one in four human deaths in all age groups in the United States in 2010[1]. Current conventional cancer therapies (surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy) are, to a significant extent, symptomatic and passive in nature. Despite improved treatment models, many tumors remain unresponsive to traditional therapy. When fatalities occur, the majority of cancer patients die from the recurrence of metastasis or therapy-related life-threatening complications. The major obstacle limiting the effectiveness of conventional therapies for cancer is their tumor specificity. Therefore, it is critical to explore efficient remedial strategies specifically targeting neoplasms.

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are the first type of stem cells to be utilized in clinical regenerative medicine. In addition to their capability of multipotent differentiation, MSCs show many other therapeutically advantageous features, such as easy acquisition, fast ex vivo expansion, the feasibility of autologous transplantation and a powerful paracrine function. More recently, the specific tumor-oriented migration and incorporation of MSCs have been demonstrated in various pre-clinical models,
revealing the potential for MSCs to be used as ideal vectors for delivering anticancer agents. With the discovery of specific anticancer genes and the revelation of MSCs’ capacity of tumor-directed migration and incorporation, a new research field has been inspired with the aim of achieving efficient therapy for cancer using engineered MSCs. In the present review, following a general description of MSCs we describe the interactions of MSC with cancers and the dual-targeted anticancer potential of engineered MSCs. We also proposed a putative personalized strategy with anticancer gene-engineered MSCs to treat patients with cancers.

OVERVIEW OF MSCs

MSCs are a group of adult stem cells naturally found in the body. They were first identified in the stromal compartment of bone marrow by Friedenstein and colleagues in 1960s[2,3]. The exact nature and localization of MSCs in vivo remain poorly understood. In addition to bone marrow, MSCs have been shown to be present in a number of other adult and fetal tissues, including amniotic fluid, heart, skeletal muscle, adipose tissue, synovial tissue, pancreas, placenta, cord blood and circulating blood. It has been assumed that basically all organs containing connective tissue also contain MSCs[4]. Among adult stem cells, MSCs are the most studied and the best characterized stem cells. MSCs are primitive cells originating from the mesodermal germ layer and were classically described as giving rise to connective tissues, skeletal muscle cells, and cells of the vascular system. MSCs can differentiate into cells of the mesodermal lineage, such as bone, fat and cartilage cells, but they also have endodermic and neuroectodermic differentiation potential. Indeed, bone marrow-derived MSCs are a heterogeneous rather than homogeneous population[5]. As a result of their supposed capacity of self-renewal and differentiation, bone marrow-derived stromal cells were first considered as stem cells by Caplan and named MSCs[6], although there is some controversy regarding their nomenclature[7]. MSCs have generated considerable biomedical interest since their multilineage potential was first identified in 1999[8].

Owing to their easy acquisition, fast ex vivo expansion, and the feasibility of autologous transplantation, MSCs became the first type of stem cells to be utilized in the clinical regenerative medicine. MSCs can differentiate to several cell types and produce important growth factors and cytokines. They may provide important cues for cell survival in damaged tissues, with or without direct participation in long-term tissue repair[9]. MSCs also have the ability to modify the response of immune cells and are thereby associated with immune-related disorders, especially autoimmune diseases[10,11]. More detailed information on their characterization, tissue distribution and therapeutic potential is described in recent reviews[7,12].

Recently, the specific tumor-oriented migration and incorporation of MSCs have been demonstrated in various pre-clinical models, demonstrating the potential for MSCs to be used as ideal carriers for anticancer agents[13]. In addition to bone marrow-derived MSCs cells obtained from other tissues, such as adipose tissue, can also be potentially used as anticancer gene vehicles for cancer therapy[14,15]. As discussed in the following section, MSCs possess both pro- and anti-cancer properties[16]. It is not an overstatement to describe MSCs as a “double-edged sword” in their interaction with tumors. However, if MSCs are suitably engineered with anticancer genes they could be employed as a valuable “single-edged sword” against cancers.

TUMOR-TROPIC CAPACITIES OF MSCs

The first evidence of the tropism of MSCs to tumors was demonstrated by implantation of rat MSCs into rats bearing syngeneic glioma[17]. Since then, an increasing number of studies have verified MSC tropism toward primary and metastatic tumor locations. Tumors can be characterized as “wounds that never heal”, serving as a continuous source of cytokines, chemokines and other inflammatory mediators[18]. These signals are capable of recruiting respondent cell types including MSCs. Tumor-directed migration and incorporation of MSCs were evidenced in a number of pre-clinical studies in vitro using transwell migration assays and in vivo using animal tumor models. The homing capacity of MSCs has been demonstrated with almost all tested human cancer cell lines, such as lung cancer[19], malignant glioma[20-22], Kaposi’s sarcoma[23], breast cancer[24,25], colon carcinoma[26], pancreatic cancer[27,28], melanoma[29] and ovarian cancer[30]. High frequency of MSC migration and incorporation was observed in in vivo co-culture and in vivo xenograft tumors respectively. These findings were consistent, independent of tumor type, immuno-competence, and the route of MSC delivery. The tropism of MSCs for tumor microenvironment is obvious, but the molecular mechanisms underlying the tumor-directed migration of MSCs have not been fully elucidated. The preconditions for this phenomenon are the production of chemo-attractant molecules from tumor tissue and the expression of corresponding receptors in MSCs[12]. The complex multistep process by which leukocytes migrate to peripheral sites of inflammation has been proposed as a paradigm. The possible pathways and prospective models have been summarized in recent reviews[13,30].

Although it is undisputable that MSCs migrate and integrate toward tumor tissues, their fate and function inside the tumor seems ambiguous and sometimes paradoxical, attributable to the complexities of both MSCs and tumor microenvironments. In order to make pertinent use of MSCs, it is essential to understand their advantages and disadvantages with regard to tumorigenesis. Native MSCs have been shown to suppress tumor growth in models of glioma[17], Kaposi’s sarcoma[23], malignant melanoma[31], Lewis lung carcinoma[31], and colon carcinoma[32]. The release of soluble factors by MSCs has also
MSCs AS THERAPEUTIC VEHICLES

Since the discovery of their tumor-directed homing capacity, MSCs have been considered as ideal therapeutic vehicles to deliver anticancer agents. In addition to their tumor-homing properties, MSCs are also easily transduced by integrating vectors due to their high levels of amphotropic receptors\(^\text{[37]}\) and offer long-term gene expression without alteration of phenotype\(^\text{[38,39]}\). To date, a number of anticancer genes have been successfully engineered into MSCs, which then demonstrate anticancer effects in various carcinoma models. Table 1 summarizes experimental models using MSCs as therapeutic vehicles to deliver anticancer agents.

MSCs can also be utilized to deliver prodrug-converting enzymes. A pioneer example is the combination of herpes simplex virus-thymidine kinase (HSV-tk) (Table 1) gene-engineered MSCs and systemic administration of ganciclovir\(^\text{[67]}\). Within tumors, HSV-tk is released by engineered MSCs and converts (phosphorylates) the prodrug ganciclovir into its toxic form, thereby inhibiting DNA synthesis and leading to cell death. In addition, there is a substantial bystander effect that leads to the death of neighboring cells. This therapeutic regimen has been successfully employed in glioma\(^\text{[58]}\) and pancreatic cancer\(^\text{[28,29]}\), respectively.

The methods of MSC administration have been classified as directional, semi-directional and systemic\(^\text{[60]}\). For MSC-based cancer therapy, MSCs have been delivered to a variety of tumor models using a number of methods. Systemic delivery methods include intravenous (iv)\(^\text{[40]}\) and intra-arterial\(^\text{[61]}\) injection, whereas, intratumoral implantation\(^\text{[57]}\), intraperitoneal\(^\text{[62]}\) and intracerebral\(^\text{[63]}\) injections, and intratracheal administration\(^\text{[64]}\) are respectively considered as directional and semi-directional deliveries. The selection of delivery route for MSCs is based on consideration of factors, such as the type, location and stage of

---

**Table 1** Mesenchymal stem cells as cellular vehicles for targeting cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anticancer agent</th>
<th>Anticancer mechanism</th>
<th>Tumor model</th>
<th>Route of MSC administration</th>
<th>Species: MSC/tumor/host</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CX3CL1</td>
<td>Immunostimulatory</td>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>Mouse/mouse/mouse</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Prodrug converting</td>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>sc/iv</td>
<td>Human/human/mouse</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>sc/iv</td>
<td>Human/human/mouse</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSV-tk</td>
<td>Immunostimulatory and apoptosis inducing</td>
<td>Glioma</td>
<td>it</td>
<td>Rat/rat/rat</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>Mouse/mouse/mouse</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFNα</td>
<td></td>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>Mouse/mouse/mouse</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glioma</td>
<td>it(ic)</td>
<td>Mouse/mouse/mouse</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFNβ</td>
<td></td>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>sc/iv</td>
<td>Human/human/mouse</td>
<td>[29,45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>ip</td>
<td>Human/human/mouse</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL2</td>
<td>Immunostimulatory</td>
<td>Glioma</td>
<td>it(ic)</td>
<td>Rat/rat/rat</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Glioma</td>
<td>it</td>
<td>Rat/rat/rat</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL12</td>
<td>Activates cytotoxic lymphocyte and NK cells</td>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>Mouse/mouse/mouse</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hepatoma</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>Mouse/mouse/mouse</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>Mouse/mouse/mouse</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL18</td>
<td>Immunostimulatory</td>
<td>Glioma</td>
<td>it</td>
<td>Rat/rat/rat</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>Mouse/mouse/mouse</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAIL</td>
<td></td>
<td>Glioma</td>
<td>it</td>
<td>Human/human/mouse</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glioma</td>
<td>ic</td>
<td>Human/human/mouse</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glioma</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>Human/human/mouse</td>
<td>[22,51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>Human/human/mouse</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Breast, lung</td>
<td>sc/iv</td>
<td>Human/human/mouse</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>sc</td>
<td>Human/human/mouse</td>
<td>[54,55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>Human/human/mouse</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CD: Cytosine deaminase; CX3CL1: Chemokine fractalkine; HSV-tk: Herpes simplex virus-thymidine kinase; ic: Intracerebral; IFN: Interferon; IL: Interleukin; ip: Intraperitoneal; it: Intra-tumoral; iv: Intravenous; NK: Natural killer; sc: Subcutaneous; TRAIL: Tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand.

been shown to reduce tumor growth and progression of glioma\(^\text{[17]}\), melanoma and lung carcinoma models\(^\text{[35]}\), and conditioned media from MSCs have been shown to cause the downregulation of NFκB in hepatoma and breast cancer cells resulting in a decrease in their in vitro proliferation\(^\text{[36]}\). While the precise mechanism underlying the intrinsic antitumor properties of MSCs has not been fully investigated, it is presumably related to the downregulation of Akt, NFκB and Wnt signaling pathways\(^\text{[37]}\). On the other hand, several studies have demonstrated that MSCs can augment tumor growth\(^\text{[34-36]}\). Promotion of tumor growth is possibly mediated by MSC production of immunosuppressive factors and by the contribution of MSCs to tumor stroma and tumor vascularization. The intrinsic anti- and pro-tumorigenic effects of MSCs were summarized in our recent review\(^\text{[12]}\).
cancer, and the feasibility of surgical interventions.

**MULTIPLE ANTICANCER EFFECTS OF ENGINEERED MSCs**

As described above, the major limitation on the effectiveness of conventional therapies for cancer treatment is their lack of tumor specificity. Advanced drug targeting of tumor cells is often impossible when treating highly invasive and infiltrative tumors, because of the high level of migration and invasiveness of tumor cells. Uncontrolled drug distribution in the body, resulting in insufficient concentration at the tumor site and toxic concentration on normal cells, is the cause of anticancer inefficacy, and is often the direct cause of side effects and sometimes life-threatening complications. Targeting solid tumors with antitumor gene therapy has also been hindered by systemic toxicity, low efficiency of delivery and nominal temporal expression. However, MSC-mediated anticancer therapies can overcome these limitations, mainly through preferentially homing to sites of primary and metastatic tumors and delivering antitumor agents. Anticancer gene-engineered MSCs are capable of specifically targeting and acting on tumors through multiple selections. The first selection is attributable to the tumor-directed migration and incorporation of MSCs. This phenomenon is independent of tumor type, immuno-competence, and the route of MSC delivery. In addition to the intrinsic anticancer effects of MSCs, the presence of MSCs in the tumor microenvironment allows the agents which are delivered by MSCs to exert their anticancer function locally and constantly. Therefore, the systemic and organ-specific side effects of anticancer agents can be greatly minimized by using this cell-based vector system.

The second level of selection lies in the cancer-specific agents being carried or expressed by MSCs. The research using MSCs as a vehicle for delivering agents to treat cancer has been greatly stimulated by the advances in study on specific anticancer genes. As indicated in Table 1, a number of anticancer genes have been engineered into MSCs, resulting in anticancer effects on various carcinoma models. In the tumor microenvironment, engineered MSCs could serve as a constant source of anticancer agent production, and locally release anticancer agents, which act on adjacent tumor cells thereby efficiently inducing tumor growth inhibition or apoptosis.

Additional selection can be achieved by modifying the vector construction according to organ-specific protein expression. For example, pancreas- or insulinoma-specific anticancer gene-bearing vectors can be made by employing an insulin promoter. Similarly, the unique expression of albumin by hepatocytes, neurotransmitter expression by neurons and surfactant expression by pulmonary alveoli can also be used to construct organ-specific expression vectors. If engineered with organ-specific vectors, MSCs express anticancer proteins only when they home to tumors located in the corresponding organs or to metastatic sites with the same type of cells.

It is worth noting that different types of viral vectors have been used to deliver the targeted genes in cancer gene therapy, including retrovirus, lentivirus, adenovirus and poxvirus. Retrovirus-induced oncogenesis remains the major concern in relation to retrovirus use in clinical applications. The targeted sites of integration, the most crucial factor associated with oncogenicity, are distinct for different retroviruses. In addition to insertional effects on protein-coding genes, insertional activation of non-coding sequences, such as microRNAs, should be carefully examined[64-66]. One effective and novel approach in the virus-mediated treatment of cancer is the use of conditionally replicating adenoviruses (CRAds), which can replicate in tumor cells but not in normal cells. Upon lysis of infected tumor cells, CRAds are released and can infect neighboring tumor cells. The current approaches targeting CRAds specifically to cancer cells were described in a recent review[67,68]. More recently, this field was further stimulated by the clinical report of Breitbach et al[69] who constructed a multi-mechanistic cancer-targeted oncolytic poxvirus and successfully applied it to patients with cancers. However, anti-viral immunity may theoretically attenuate the efficiency of viral vector-mediated therapy. As described in the following section, MSC-mediated gene therapy has unique advantages especially in terms of tumor-targeting selections.

**ADVANTAGES OF MSC-MEDIATED THERAPY AND PUTATIVE PERSONALIZED MEDICINE**

**Intrinsic strength for transplantation**

The greatest benefit of MSCs in clinical application is their suitability for autologous transplantation. Autotransplantation of MSCs has been used in a numerous clinical studies, most of most of them in regenerative medicine applications such as myocardial infarction[70,71], traumatic brain injury[72] and liver disease[73]. MSCs also represent an advantageous cell type for allogeneic transplantation. A number of different studies have demonstrated that MSCs avoid allogeneic rejection in humans and in different animal models[74,75]. MSCs are immune-privileged, characterized by low expression of MHC-I with no expression of MHC-II and co-stimulatory molecules such as CD80, CD86 and CD40[76,77]. Due to their limited immunogenicity, MSCs are poorly recognized by HLA-incompatible hosts. This opens up a much broader range of uses for MSCs in transplantation, compared to cells from autologous sources only.

**Possible pre-determination of carcinoma sensitivity to anticancer agents**

Pre-determination of the sensitivity of particular carcinoma to any given anticancer agents is a critical step in developing personalized medicine. During *ex vivo* expansion, MSCs can be engineered with a variety of anti-
cancer agents and assessed in vitro. Transwell co-culture and/or real-time monitoring techniques can be applied to this detection. The cells isolated from clinical tumor biopsy are the most practically meaningful targets. Once a sensitive anticancer agent is selected, engineered MSCs can be prepared on a large scale for the treatment.

**Potential synergistic effect of multiple anticancer agents**

In addition to the therapeutic specificity of anticancer agents, the development of drug resistance of tumor cells is another factor contributing to inefficient cancer therapy. Since the beginning of cancer chemotherapy the frequent lack of drug response in solid tumors has been a major problem. In nearly 50% of all cancer cases, resistance to chemotherapy already exists before drug treatment starts (intrinsic resistance), and in a large proportion of remaining cases drug resistance develops during the treatment (acquired resistance)\[^{79}\]. The mechanisms contributing to multidrug resistance phenotype and the challenges facing molecular targeted therapy were discussed in a recent review\[^{74}\]. MSC-based cancer therapy is capable of providing multiple anticancer agents synchronously, which may potentiate therapeutic efficiency. For example, tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) has gained attention in cancer gene therapy because of its capacity to induce apoptosis specifically in tumor cells. Cancer specificity is determined by the differential expression of death receptors (DR4 and DR5). Dominant expression of DR4 and/or DR5 is a determinant factor for the sensitivity of target tumor cells to TRAIL. The expression of death receptors varies with tumor type and stage as well as the therapy utilized, and the sensitivity of tumor cells to TRAIL is not particularly consistent even under apparently identical conditions\[^{75,76}\]. Recent work of our group demonstrates that TRAIL-insensitive Panc-1 cell can be suppressed by transducing a death receptor-independent anticancer gene phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) (Figure 1). PTEN is a phosphatidylinositol phosphate phosphatase and is frequently inactivated in human cancers\[^{77}\]. Loss of PTEN function is associated with constitutive survival signaling through the phosphatidylinositol-3 kinas/Akt pathway. PTEN has also been demonstrated to sensitize tumor cells to death receptor-mediated apoptosis induced by TRAIL\[^{78,79}\] and non-receptor mediated apoptosis induced by a kinase inhibitor staurosporine and chemotherapeutic agents mitoxantrone and etoposide\[^{78}\]. The MSC-mediated therapeutic spectrum can be dramatically broadened by using multiple anticancer gene-engineered MSCs, and theoretically, a synergistic effect can be achieved via application of multiple anticancer agents simultaneously.

**Putative personalized medicine**

MSCs can be acquired from the patients’ own body,
and more well-designed pre-clinical studies are definitely required before applying this strategy to real clinical settings.

In conclusion, the recent progress in both stem cell and anticancer gene studies has great potential for exploitation in new efficient cancer therapies. The combination of human MSCs and specific anticancer genes can selectively act upon targeted tumor cells. Further translational studies could lead to novel and effective treatments for cancer. Hopefully, the utilization of dual-targeted anticancer gene-engineered MSCs will be of great benefit to future cancer patients.
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CONCLUSION

There is a pressing clinical demand for more efficient remedies to replace existing symptomatic anticancer therapies. The extensive achievements of MSCs and anticancer agent studies have laid the foundation for the exploitation of MSC-based cancer therapies. MSCs possess powerful capabilities of tumor-directed migration and incorporation, giving them the potential of acting as optimal vehicles to deliver anticancer agents. Although MSCs have both positive and negative effects on tumor progression, profound anticancer effects have been demonstrated by using appropriately engineered MSCs. MSC-mediated anticancer therapy relies on tumor-specific selectivity provided by MSCs and MSC-carried anticancer agents. Homed directly at the tumor microenvironment, engineered MSCs are able to express and/or release anticancer agents constantly, acting on the adjacent tumor cells. To date, however, almost all of the available findings are confined to cell culture and/or animal cancer models,
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